#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference Sche/V/9/03	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/EP2004/014656	International filing date (day/month/year) 23 December 2004 (23.12.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 24 December 2003 (24.12.2003)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant SCHWARZ PHARMA AG				

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).					
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.					
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
	Box No. I	Basis of the report				
	Вох №. П	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opir applicability	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention				
	Box No. V		Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited				
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter	national application			
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on th	e international application			
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).					
			Date of issuance of this report 29 August 2006 (29.08.2006)			
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		ombettes	Authorized officer  Agnes Wittmann-Regis			
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70			e-mail: pt06@wipo.int			

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the	e		PA	TENT COOPER	ATION TREA	TY TRANS	
To:	AOITA	AL SEARCHIN	G AUTHOR	ITY		PCT PCT	
						RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
						(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
					Date of mailing (day/month/year)	See Form PCT/ISA/210 (sheet 2)	
Applica	nt's or a	gent's file referenc	e		FOR FURTHER		
Sch	e/V/	/9/03			See paragraph 2 below		
	•	plication No.		International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT	/EP2	2004/014	656 	23.12.2004		24.12.2003	
A61	K31,	/381 , A		national classification and	nd IPC		
Applica SCH		Z PHARMA	AG				
1.	This o	pinion contains ir	dications relat	ting to the following item	s:		
	$\boxtimes$	Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion			
		Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				ive step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with r  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis applicability; citations and explanati			y of invention	is.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ions supporting such statement		
		Box No. VI	Certain docu	uments cited			
		Box No. VII	Certain defe	ects in the international ap	pplication		
		Box No. VIII	Certain obse	ervations on the internation	onal application		
2.	FURT	THER ACTION					
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.						
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3.	For fu	rther details, see t	notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.			
Name a	nd maili	ng address of the	ISA/EP		Authorized officer		
Facsimi	le No.				Telephone No.		

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/014656

With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of internation.	_
	7 <b>e</b>
, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of internation	
Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	·
<ol> <li>With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessar invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:</li> </ol>	ry to the claimed
a. type of material	
a sequence listing	
table(s) related to the sequence listing	
b. format of material	
in written format	
in computer readable form	
c. time of filing/furnishing	
contained in the international application as filed.	
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
4. Additional comments:	

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/014656

Box No. I	II Non-establishment of opinion	with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
	the entire international application			
$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 1-18 (gA)			
becaus	se:			
$\boxtimes$	the said international application, or the relate to the following subject matter wi	said claims Nos.		
	Claims 1-18 relate	e to subject matter which, in the		
	opinion of this Au	thority, falls under PCT Rule		
	67.1(iv). Conseque	ently, no expert opinion has been		
	established in res	spect of the industrial applicability		
	of the subject mat	ter of said claims (PCT Article		
	34(4)(a)(i)).			
	the description, claims or drawings (ind are so unclear that no meaningful opinion	icate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. on could be formed (specify):		
	the claims, or said claims Nos.  by the description that no meaningful or	are so inadequately supported		
	no international search report has been	nce listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative		
	Instructions in that:	—		
	the written form	has not been furnished		
		does not comply with the standard		
	the computer readable form	has not been furnished		
		does not comply with the standard		
		For amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.		
	See Supplemental Box for further detail	is.		

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/014656

Box			ile 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; porting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-20	YES
		Claims		NO.
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-20	YES
		Claims		NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-20	YES
		Claims		NO.
1				

- 2. Citations and explanations:
  - 1. Reference is made to the following document: D1: WO 02/089777

Document D1 is considered the closest prior art for the subject matter of claim 1. It discloses the use of compounds of the formula I (including Rotigotin) for treatment of Parkinson's patients. The subject matter of claim 1 differs from this in that the compounds are used for preventative treatment of Parkinson's disease.

The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

The problem addressed by the present invention can therefore be considered that of preventing the disease (Parkinson's disease).

Since D1 contains no suggestion that the compounds are also suitable for preventative purposes, the subject matter of claim 1 meets the PCT requirements for inventive step.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/014656

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Claims 2-18 are dependent on claim 1 and thus also meet the PCT requirements for novelty and inventive step.

For the reasons set out above, the subject matters of claims 19 and 20 are likewise novel and inventive.

2. The PCT Contracting States do not have uniform criteria for assessing the industrial applicability of claims 1-18 in their present form. Patentability may also depend on the wording of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize the industrial applicability of claims to medical use of a compound; it may, however, allow claims to the first medical application of a known compound or to the use of such a compound in the manufacture of a drug for a new medical application.